arXiv:math-ph/0510049v1 13 Oct 2005

Finslerian grounds for four–directional anisotropic kinematics

G. S. Asanov

Division of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University
119992 Moscow, Russia
(e-mail: asanov@newmail.ru)

Abstract

Upon straightforward four–directional extension of the special–relativistic two–dimensional transformations to the four–dimensional case we lead to convenient totally anisotropic kinematic transformations, which prove to reveal many remarkable group and invariance properties. Such a promise is shown to ground the basic manifold with the Finslerian fourth-root metric function to measure length of relativistic four–vectors. Conversion to the framework of relativistic four–momentum is also elucidated. The relativity principle is strictly retained. An interesting particular algebra for subtraction and composition of three-dimensional relative velocities is arisen. The correspondence principle is operative in the sense that at small relative velocities the transformations introduced tend approximately to ordinary Lorentzian precursors. The transport synchronization remains valid.

Abbreviation RF will be used for (inertial) reference frames.

Keywords: special relativity, invariance, Finsler geometry.

1. Introduction and Motivation

The present work is inspired by an intriguing possibility (previously opened in [1]) to extend the ordinary Lorentzian transformations by suppressing any isotropy assumption. It is rather astonishing fact traced below that if we introduce the kinematic transformations in such a four–directional way (eqs. (2.1)–(2.5) below), we find the geometric grounds to be given exactly by the fourth–root Finslerian metric function served to measure the length of four–vectors (instead of the pseudoeuclidean quadratic method). This way gives rise to a totally anisotropic and also geometrically motivated relativistic framework, which is self–consistent. The relevant usage of the Finsler geometry methods [2,3] in future seems to be indispensable.

Generally, the availability of totally isotropic alternative kinematics may be of help to provide theoretical instruments to analyze real experimental limits for isotropy rooted in the Lorentzian relativistic framework. There may also exist situations in Universe or in high–energy particle interactions where anisotropy may be a reality (relevant discussions can be found in [4]). Various possibilities may occur in future experiments to face with space–time anisotropies. At least, the conventional isotropy of space–time may be "not full truth" even at the kinematical level. In any case, the very desire to have rigorous anisotripic relativistic framework motivated geometrically to judge upon possible relativistic anisotropies is justified.

Our consideration developed below is founded on the assumption that the four geometrically distinguished directions exist in the space–time domain to which the consideration refers, provided that there is no preference among the directions. We commence with Section 2, where our decisive step is to "enlarge" the ordinary special-relativistic Lorentzian transformations $Y'^0 = (Y^0 + s^1Y^1)/\sqrt{(1+s^1)(1-s^1)}$, $Y'^1 = (s^1Y^0 + Y^1)/\sqrt{(1+s^1)(1-s^1)}$ in a straightforward way from the dimension 2 to the dimension 4. The choice (2.1)–(2.5) made under the four–directional breakdown of space isotropy entails numerous remarkable implications.

Namely, the invoked kinematic coefficients, like their Lorentzian precursors, are symmetric and of the unit determinant. The Lorentzian square root is replaced by the fourth–root function $A(s)$ given by (2.5). Remarkably, the fourth–degree Finslerian metric function, to be denoted by $\mathcal{F}$, can be found upon stipulating that the function is left invariant under the kinematic transformations adopted. Transition to the respective $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–co–treatment is straightforward, so that the forms of the associated Hamiltonian function and the dispersion relation, the Finslerian transformation laws for momenta and frequencies, as well as due Finslerian corrections to Doppler effect can unambiguously and explicitly be obtaining.

Non–trivial expressions can be explicated for the inversion, subtraction, and composition of relative three–dimensional velocities (Section 3). The ordinary reciprocity principle (which claims that given a three-dimensional velocity $\mathbf{v}$ the reciprocal velocity is $-\mathbf{v}$) fails. Instead, an extended inversion operation $\ominus$ is to be substituted with the ordinary minus. In particular, the dependence of the momentum on velocities remarkably follows such a rule (according to (2.43)). The $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–composition law is symmetric, while the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–subtraction law proves to be antisymmetric in only the sense assigned by the operation $\ominus$. The respective algebra fulfills the associative rule. Four invariant vectors are arisen. The abelian group nature is inherited by the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–kinematic transformations from the ordinary two–dimensional special–relativistic Lorentz transformation.

Despite the circumstance that the kinematic transformations (2.1)–(2.4) do deviate drastically from the Lorentzian case proper, nevertheless they fulfill the conventional correspondence principle in a rather strong way. Indeed, the law–velocity approximations (Section 4) show that the post–Lorentzian corrections to the fundamental fourth root function $A(s)$ (see (2.5)), and hence to the very $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–kinematic transformations (2.1)–(2.4), start deviating from their ordinary Lorentzian precursors with but the O(3)–terms (quite similar conclusion can be addressed to the dependence of the particle energy on the three–dimensional momentum). The subtraction and composition laws for velocities reveal the O(2)–order corrections.

The paper ends with Conclusions in which we shortly discuss the key aspects of our approach.

2. Principal observations

Let us introduce the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–*kinematic transformations*

$$Y'^0 = \frac{Y^0 + s^1Y^1 + s^2Y^2 + s^3Y^3}{A(s)}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$Y'^1 = \frac{s^1Y^0 + Y^1 + s^3Y^2 + s^2Y^3}{A(s)}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$Y'^2 = \frac{s^2Y^0 + s^3Y^1 + Y^2 + s^1Y^3}{A(s)}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$Y'^3 = \frac{s^3Y^0 + s^2Y^1 + s^1Y^2 + Y^3}{A(s)} \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$A(s) = \sqrt[4]{(1 + s^1 + s^2 + s^3)(1 - s^1 + s^2 - s^3)(1 + s^1 - s^2 - s^3)(1 - s^1 - s^2 + s^3)} \tag{2.5}$$

to describe the transition from a RF $\Sigma = \Sigma(s)$ into another RF Σ', so that $\{Y^p\} \in \Sigma$ and $\{Y'^p\} \in \Sigma'$. Here, $s = \{s^a\} = \{s^1, s^2, s^3\}$ are components of three–dimensional motion velocity of Σ with respect to Σ'. The indices $a, b, ...$, $p, q, ...$, and A, B will respectively run over the range 1,2,3, the range 0,1,2,3, and the range 1,2,3,4. The designation $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$ means that the approach is anisotropic, the dimension is 4, and the positivity conditions of the types

$$1 + s^1 + s^2 + s^3 > 0,\ 1 - s^1 + s^2 - s^3 > 0,\ 1 + s^1 - s^2 - s^3 > 0,\ 1 - s^1 - s^2 + s^3 > 0 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$Y^0{+}Y^1{+}Y^2{+}Y^3 > 0,\ Y^0{-}Y^1{+}Y^2{-}Y^3 > 0,\ Y^0{+}Y^1{-}Y^2{-}Y^3 > 0,\ Y^0{-}Y^1{-}Y^2{+}Y^3 > 0 \tag{2.7}$$

are rigorously fulfilled.

If we write the transformations in the vector form

$$Y'^p = \Lambda^p_q(s)Y^q, \tag{2.8}$$

the kinematic coefficients involve the components

$$\Lambda^0_0(s) = \Lambda^1_1(s) = \Lambda^2_2(s) = \Lambda^3_3(s) = \frac{1}{A(s)}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\Lambda^a_0(s)=\Lambda^0_a(s)=\frac{1}{A(s)}s^a\equiv\Lambda^0_0(s)s^a, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\Lambda^2_1(s)=\Lambda^1_2(s)=\frac{1}{A(s)}s^3,\quad \Lambda^3_1(s)=\Lambda^1_3(s)=\frac{1}{A(s)}s^2,\quad \Lambda^3_2(s)=\Lambda^2_3(s)=\frac{1}{A(s)}s^1. \tag{2.11}$$

The symmetry

$$\Lambda^p_q(s)=\Lambda^q_p(s) \tag{2.12}$$

and the determinant unity

$$\det(\Lambda^p_q)(s)=1 \tag{2.13}$$

hold fine at any value of the set $s^a=\{s^1,s^2,s^3\}$.

The $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$*–kinematic length*

$$\mathcal{F}(Y)=\sqrt[4]{(Y^0+Y^1+Y^2+Y^3)(Y^0-Y^1+Y^2-Y^3)(Y^0+Y^1-Y^2-Y^3)(Y^0-Y^1-Y^2+Y^3)} \tag{2.14}$$

of the vector $\{Y^p\}$ fulfills the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$*–kinematic invariance*

$$\mathcal{F}(Y')=\mathcal{F}(Y). \tag{2.15}$$

There exists a simple way to verify the invariance. Namely, applying the coefficients (2.9)–(2.11) to the parentheses appeared under the root in the right–hand part of (2.14) yields

$$Y^0+Y^1+Y^2+Y^3=\lambda_1(Y^0+Y^1+Y^2+Y^3), \tag{2.16}$$

$$Y^0-Y^1+Y^2-Y^3=\lambda_2(Y^0-Y^1+Y^2-Y^3), \tag{2.17}$$

$$Y^0+Y^1-Y^2-Y^3=\lambda_3(Y^0+Y^1-Y^2-Y^3), \tag{2.18}$$

$$Y^0-Y^1-Y^2+Y^3=\lambda_4(Y^0-Y^1-Y^2+Y^3), \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$\lambda_1=\frac{1+s^1+s^2+s^3}{A(s)},\ \lambda_2=\frac{1-s^1+s^2-s^3}{A(s)},\ \lambda_3=\frac{1+s^1-s^2-s^3}{A(s)},\ \lambda_4=\frac{1-s^1-s^2+s^3}{A(s)}, \tag{2.20}$$

so that on taking into account the right–hand part in the expression (2.5) of $A(s)$ we may just establish (2.15) (that is to say, the quantities s^a do disappear in the right–hand part of (2.14)).

The *isotropic four–vectors* $C_A=\{C^p_A\}$ with

$$C^0_1=-C^1_1-C^2_1-C^3_1,\quad C^0_2=C^1_2-C^2_2+C^3_2,\quad C^0_3=-C^1_3+C^2_3+C^3_3,\quad C^0_4=C^1_4+C^2_4-C^3_4 \tag{2.21}$$

show the property

$$\mathcal{F}(C_A)=0. \tag{2.22}$$

Also, the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$– *momentum kinematic transformations*

$$P'_0=\frac{P_0+f^1P_1+f^2P_2+f^3P_3}{A(f)}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$P'_1=\frac{f^1P_0+P_1+f^3P_2+f^2P_3}{A(f)}, \tag{2.24}$$

$$P'_2=\frac{f^2P_0+f^3P_1+P_2+f^1P_3}{A(f)}, \tag{2.25}$$

$$P'_3=\frac{f^3P_0+f^2P_1+f^1P_2+P_3}{A(f)} \tag{2.26}$$

can be found, where

$$A(f)=\sqrt[4]{(1+f^1+f^2+f^3)(1-f^1+f^2-f^3)(1+f^1-f^2-f^3)(1-f^1-f^2+f^3)} \tag{2.27}$$

with

$$f=\ominus s \tag{2.28}$$

(here the right–hand part is the operation given by the formulae (3.1)–(3.4) of the next section) so that the contractions of co– and contra–vectors remain invariant under the transformations considered, that is,

$$P'_qY'^q=P_qY^q. \tag{2.29}$$

The associated $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–*Hamiltonian function*

$$\mathcal{H}(P)=\sqrt[4]{(P_0{+}P_1{+}P_2{+}P_3)(P_0{-}P_1{+}P_2{-}P_3)(P_0{+}P_1{-}P_2{-}P_3)(P_0{-}P_1{-}P_2{+}P_3)} \tag{2.30}$$

gives rise to the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–*dispersion relation*

$$E=E(m;P_1,P_2,P_3) \tag{2.31}$$

which explicit form is the following:

$$(E+P_1+P_2+P_3)(E-P_1+P_2-P_3)(E+P_1-P_2-P_3)(E-P_1-P_2+P_3)=m^4, \tag{2.32}$$

where m is the particle mass. Given the momentum components $\{P_1,P_2,P_3\}$, from (2.32) energy E of the particle is found.

Similarly to (2.15), the Hamiltonian function (2.30) is $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–invariant:

$$\mathcal{H}(P')=\mathcal{H}(P). \tag{2.33}$$

Applying the Finslerian rule

$$Y_p=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial(\mathcal{F}(Y))^2}{\partial Y^p} \tag{2.34}$$

(see [1–3]) to introduce covariant vectors, from (2.14) we obtain for a single particle the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–*four–momentum* $P_p=P_p(m;V)$ with the explicit components

$$\frac{P_0}{m\mathcal{F}(V)}=\frac{1}{V^0{+}V^1{+}V^2{+}V^3}+\frac{1}{V^0{-}V^1{+}V^2{-}V^3}+\frac{1}{V^0{+}V^1{-}V^2{-}V^3}+\frac{1}{V^0{-}V^1{-}V^2{+}V^3}, \tag{2.35}$$

$$\frac{P_1}{m\mathcal{F}(V)}=\frac{1}{V^0+V^1+V^2+V^3}-\frac{1}{V^0-V^1+V^2-V^3}+\frac{1}{V^0+V^1-V^2-V^3}-\frac{1}{V^0-V^1-V^2+V^3}, \tag{2.36}$$

$$\frac{P_2}{m\mathcal{F}(V)}=\frac{1}{V^0+V^1+V^2+V^3}+\frac{1}{V^0-V^1+V^2-V^3}-\frac{1}{V^0+V^1-V^2-V^3}-\frac{1}{V^0-V^1-V^2+V^3}, \tag{2.37}$$

$$\frac{P_3}{m\mathcal{F}(V)}=\frac{1}{V^0+V^1+V^2+V^3}-\frac{1}{V^0-V^1+V^2-V^3}-\frac{1}{V^0+V^1-V^2-V^3}+\frac{1}{V^0-V^1-V^2+V^3}. \tag{2.38}$$

The four–vector V^p entered represents the four–velocity of particle. With the formulae (2.14), (2.30), and (2.35)–(2.38) it can straightforwardly be verified that

$$\mathcal{H}(P)=m. \tag{2.39}$$

The right–hand parts in (2.35)–(2.38) can be simplified, yielding the representation

$$P_0=m\frac{(V^0)^3-V^0\left((V^1)^2+(V^2)^2+(V^3)^2\right)+2V^1V^2V^3}{\Big((V^0+V^1+V^2+V^3)(V^0-V^1+V^2-V^3)(V^0+V^1-V^2-V^3)(V^0-V^1-V^2+V^3)\Big)^{3/4}} \tag{2.40}$$

and, in terms of the three-dimensional vectors

$$v^a=V^a/V^0 \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$p_a=P_a/P_0, \tag{2.42}$$

the equality

$$\frac{1}{m}p_a=\ominus(v^a), \tag{2.43}$$

where $\ominus$ is the operation presented in the next section by means of the formulae (3.1)–(3.4).

3. Subtraction and composition laws for velocities

First of all, if we consider the inverse transformations (from the RF Σ' into the RF Σ), we find the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$*–reciprocal velocity* $\ominus s$ with the following components

$$(\ominus s)^1=-\frac{1}{K(s)}\left[s^1-2s^2s^3-(s^1)^3+s^1(s^2s^2+s^3s^3)\right], \tag{3.1}$$

$$(\ominus s)^2=-\frac{1}{K(s)}\left[s^2-2s^1s^3-(s^2)^3+s^2(s^1s^1+s^3s^3)\right], \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\ominus s)^3=-\frac{1}{K(s)}\left[s^3-2s^1s^2-(s^3)^3+s^3(s^1s^1+s^2s^2)\right], \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$K(s) = 1 - s^1 s^1 - s^2 s^2 - s^3 s^3 + 2 s^1 s^2 s^3. \tag{3.4}$$

Also, by considering a succession of three RFs $\Sigma, \Sigma', \Sigma''$ and denoting by $s_{\{1\}},\ s_{\{2\}},\ s_{\{3\}}$ their respective mutual motion velocities, we can derive from (2.1)–(2.4) the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–*subtraction law*

$$s_{\{1\}} = s_{\{3\}} \ominus s_{\{2\}} \tag{3.5}$$

with the following component dependencies:

$$Hs^1_{\{1\}} = \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}} - \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} + \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} - \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$Hs^2_{\{1\}} = \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}} + \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} - \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} - \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$Hs^3_{\{1\}} = \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}} - \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} - \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} + \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}}, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$H = \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}} + \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}+s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}+s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} + \frac{1+s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}-s^3_{\{3\}}}{1+s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}-s^3_{\{2\}}} + \frac{1-s^1_{\{3\}}-s^2_{\{3\}}+s^3_{\{3\}}}{1-s^1_{\{2\}}-s^2_{\{2\}}+s^3_{\{2\}}}. \tag{3.9}$$

The $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–*composition law*

$$s_{\{3\}} = s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}} \tag{3.10}$$

can be evaluated in a similar fashion, yielding

$$s^1_{\{3\}} = \frac{s^1_{\{1\}} + s^1_{\{2\}} + s^2_{\{1\}} s^3_{\{2\}} + s^3_{\{1\}} s^2_{\{2\}}}{1 + s^1_{\{1\}} s^1_{\{2\}} + s^2_{\{1\}} s^2_{\{2\}} + s^3_{\{1\}} s^3_{\{2\}}}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$s^2_{\{3\}} = \frac{s^2_{\{1\}} + s^2_{\{2\}} + s^1_{\{1\}} s^3_{\{2\}} + s^3_{\{1\}} s^1_{\{2\}}}{1 + s^1_{\{1\}} s^1_{\{2\}} + s^2_{\{1\}} s^2_{\{2\}} + s^3_{\{1\}} s^3_{\{2\}}}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$s^3_{\{3\}} = \frac{s^3_{\{1\}} + s^3_{\{2\}} + s^1_{\{1\}} s^2_{\{2\}} + s^2_{\{1\}} s^1_{\{2\}}}{1 + s^1_{\{1\}} s^1_{\{2\}} + s^2_{\{1\}} s^2_{\{2\}} + s^3_{\{1\}} s^3_{\{2\}}}. \tag{3.13}$$

An alternative convenient way to obtain the formulae (3.11)–(3.13) is to resolve the subtraction law set (3.6)–(3.9) with respect to the quantities $s^1_{\{3\}},\ s^2_{\{3\}},\ s^3_{\{3\}}$.

The $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–algebra thus arisen to operate with three–dimensional velocities is symmetric

$$s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}} = s_{\{2\}} \oplus s_{\{1\}} \tag{3.14}$$

and also antisymmetric in terms of the inversion operator $\ominus$:

$$.s_{\{1\}} \ominus s_{\{2\}} = \ominus\Big(s_{\{2\}} \ominus s_{\{1\}}\Big). \tag{3.15}$$

The associative law

$$\Big(s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}}\Big) \oplus s_{\{3\}} = s_{\{1\}} \oplus \Big(s_{\{2\}} \oplus s_{\{3\}}\Big) \tag{3.16}$$

holds. The identities

$$s \ominus s = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

$$0 \ominus s = \ominus s, \tag{3.18}$$

$$0 \oplus s = s, \tag{3.19}$$

$$s \oplus \Big(\ominus s\Big) = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

$$s_{\{1\}} \ominus \Big(\ominus s_{\{2\}}\Big) = s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$s_{\{1\}} \ominus s_{\{2\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}} \oplus s_{\{3\}} = s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{3\}}, \tag{3.22}$$

$$s_{\{1\}} \oplus \Big(\ominus s_{\{2\}}\Big) = s_{\{1\}} \ominus s_{\{2\}} \tag{3.23}$$

can straightforwardly be verified. Symbolically, we can write

$$\ominus\ominus = \oplus \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$\oplus\ominus = \ominus\oplus = \ominus. \tag{3.25}$$

The definitions

$$c_1 = \{-1,-1,-1\}, \quad c_2 = \{1,-1,1\}, \quad c_3 = \{-1,1,1\}, \quad c_4 = \{1,1,-1\}, \tag{3.26}$$

introduce four three-dimensional *invariant velocities* $\{c_A\}$, symbolically

$$\Lambda \cdot c_A = c_A. \tag{3.27}$$

They reveal the properties

$$s \oplus c_A = s, \quad s \ominus c_A = s, \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\ominus c_A = -c_A. \tag{3.29}$$

The group property

$$\Lambda^p_q(s_{\{1\}})\Lambda^q_r(s_{\{2\}}) = \Lambda^p_r(s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}}) \tag{3.30}$$

and the symmetry

$$\Lambda^p_q(s_{\{1\}})\Lambda^q_r(s_{\{2\}}) = \Lambda^p_q(s_{\{2\}})\Lambda^q_r(s_{\{1\}}) \tag{3.31}$$

together with the inverting

$$(\Lambda^p_q(s))^{-1} = \Lambda^p_q(\ominus s) \tag{3.32}$$

are valid.

In checking the momentum transformations (2.23)–(2.28) it is useful to previously establish the identities

$$1+(\ominus s)^1+(\ominus s)^2+(\ominus s)^3 = \frac{1}{K(s)}(1-s^2+s^2-s^3)(1+s^2-s^2-s^3)(1-s^2-s^2+s^3), \tag{3.33}$$

$$1-(\ominus s)^1+(\ominus s)^2-(\ominus s)^3 = \frac{1}{K(s)}(1+s^2+s^2+s^3)(1+s^2-s^2-s^3)(1-s^2-s^2+s^3), \tag{3.34}$$

$$1+(\ominus s)^1-(\ominus s)^2-(\ominus s)^3 = \frac{1}{K(s)}(1+s^2+s^2+s^3)(1-s^2+s^2-s^3)(1-s^2-s^2+s^3), \tag{3.35}$$

$$1+(\ominus s)^1-(\ominus s)^2-(\ominus s)^3 = \frac{1}{K(s)}(1+s^2+s^2+s^3)(1-s^2+s^2-s^3)(1-s^2-s^2+s^3) \tag{3.36}$$

together with

$$A(\ominus s) = \frac{1}{K(s)}(A(s))^3. \tag{3.37}$$

4. Approximations

The transformations (2.1)–(2.5) obviously extend the ordinary special–relativistic Lorentz transformations

$$Y'^0_{\{\text{special Lorentzian}\}} = \frac{Y^0 + s^1Y^1}{\sqrt{(1+s^1)(1-s^1)}}, \qquad Y'^1_{\{\text{special Lorentzian}\}} = \frac{s^1Y^0 + Y^1}{\sqrt{(1+s^1)(1-s^1)}}, \tag{4.1}$$

accordingly the Finslerian result (2.14) extends the pseudoeuclidean function

$$\mathcal{F}(Y)_{\{\text{special Lorentzian}\}} = \sqrt{(Y^0+Y^1)(Y^0-Y^1)}. \tag{4.2}$$

From (3.5)–(3.13) the ordinary two–dimensional special–relativistic formulae ensue as follows:

$$\{s^2_{\{1\}} = s^3_{\{1\}} = s^2_{\{2\}} = s^3_{\{2\}} = 0\} \to s^1_{\{1\}} = \frac{s^1_{\{3\}} - s^1_{\{2\}}}{1 - s^1_{\{2\}}s^1_{\{3\}}} \quad \text{and} \quad s^1_{\{3\}} = \frac{s^1_{\{1\}} + s^1_{\{2\}}}{1 + s^1_{\{1\}}s^1_{\{2\}}}. \tag{4.3}$$

In this case, the reciprocity of the ordinary “obvious” type

$$\ominus s^a = -s^a \tag{4.4}$$

is a true implication from the law (3.1)–(3.4).

When

$$(s^1)^2 + (s^2)^2 + (s^3)^2 \ll 1,$$

in the law–velocity approximation up to O(5) we obtain

$$A(s) \approx A_1(s) + A_2(s) \tag{4.5}$$

with

$$A_1(s) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\Big((s^1)^2 + (s^2)^2 + (s^3)^2\Big) - \frac{1}{8}\Big((s^1)^4 + (s^2)^4 + (s^3)^4\Big) \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$A_2(s) = 2s^1 s^2 s^3 - \frac{5}{4}\Big((s^1)^2(s^2)^2 + (s^2)^2(s^3)^2 + (s^1)^2(s^3)^2\Big). \tag{4.7}$$

Inverting yields

$$\frac{1}{A(s)} \approx (A^{-1})_1(s) + (A^{-1})_2(s) \tag{4.8}$$

with

$$(A^{-1})_1(s) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\Big((s^1)^2 + (s^2)^2 + (s^3)^2\Big) + \frac{3}{8}\Big((s^1)^4 + (s^2)^4 + (s^3)^4\Big) \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$(A^{-1})_2(s) = -2s^1 s^2 s^3 + \frac{7}{4}\Big((s^1)^2(s^2)^2 + (s^2)^2(s^3)^2 + (s^1)^2(s^3)^2\Big). \tag{4.10}$$

Also, we approximate the reciprocity law

$$(\ominus s)^1 \approx -s^1 - (s^1)^2 - (s^2 - s^3)^2, \tag{4.11}$$

$$(\ominus s)^2 \approx -s^2 - (s^2)^2 - (s^1 - s^3)^2, \tag{4.12}$$

$$(\ominus s)^3 \approx -s^3 - (s^3)^2 - (s^1 - s^2)^2, \tag{4.13}$$

the subtraction law

$$(s_{\{3\}} \ominus s_{\{2\}})^1 \approx s^1_{\{3\}} - s^1_{\{2\}} + 2s^2_{\{2\}}s^3_{\{2\}} - s^2_{\{2\}}s^3_{\{3\}} - s^2_{\{3\}}s^3_{\{2\}}, \tag{4.14}$$

$$(s_{\{3\}} \ominus s_{\{2\}})^2 \approx s^2_{\{3\}} - s^2_{\{2\}} + 2s^1_{\{2\}}s^3_{\{2\}} - s^1_{\{2\}}s^3_{\{3\}} - s^1_{\{3\}}s^3_{\{2\}}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$(s_{\{3\}} \ominus s_{\{2\}})^3 \approx s^3_{\{3\}} - s^3_{\{2\}} + 2s^1_{\{2\}}s^2_{\{2\}} - s^1_{\{2\}}s^2_{\{3\}} - s^1_{\{3\}}s^2_{\{2\}}, \tag{4.16}$$

and the composition law

$$(s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}})^1 \approx s^1_{\{2\}} + s^1_{\{3\}} + s^2_{\{2\}}s^3_{\{3\}} + s^3_{\{2\}}s^2_{\{3\}}, \tag{4.17}$$

$$(s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}})^2 \approx s^2_{\{2\}} + s^2_{\{3\}} + s^1_{\{2\}}s^3_{\{3\}} + s^3_{\{2\}}s^1_{\{3\}}, \tag{4.18}$$

$$(s_{\{1\}} \oplus s_{\{2\}})^3 \approx s^3_{\{2\}} + s^3_{\{3\}} + s^1_{\{2\}}s^2_{\{3\}} + s^2_{\{2\}}s^1_{\{3\}}. \tag{4.19}$$

The law–momentum approximation for the dispersion relation (2.32) starts with

$$E \approx m + \frac{1}{2m}\Big((P_1)^2 + (P_2)^2 + (P_3)^2\Big) + \frac{1}{8m^3}\Big((P_1)^4 + (P_2)^4 + (P_3)^4\Big) + E_{\mathcal{A}}, \qquad (4.20)$$

where

$$E_{\mathcal{A}} \approx -\frac{2}{m^2}P_1P_2P_3 - \frac{5}{4m^3}\Big((P_1)^2(P_2)^2 + (P_2)^2(P_3)^2 + (P_1)^2(P_3)^2\Big) \qquad (4.21)$$

is the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–correction.

Conclusions

Geometrically, consideration in the present work was founded upon the relativistic and geometric properties of the space–time endowed tentatively with the four–directional Finslerian metric function (2.14) (the uni–directional anisotropic relativity was developed in [5–8] in context of the pseudo–Finsleroid approach). Neither stipulating existence of a preferred reference frame nor assuming violations of the relativity principle have been implied. An important common feature of the ordinary pseudoeuclidean theory of special relativity and of the Finslerian relativistic approach is that they both endeavor to establish a universal prescription for applying the theory to systems in differing states of motion.

In the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–approach, the *slow transport synchronization is fulfilled exactly*, which is, in fact, a direct implication of the linear–nature of lowest–order approximation of the $\mathcal{A}_4^{\{+\}}$–composition law for velocities (see (4.17)–(4.19)). A convenient more detailed motivation thereto is the following. If a clock is considered to move in the RF $\Sigma = \Sigma(s)$ with a velocity u^a, so that $\Delta Y^a = u^a \Delta Y^0$, then with respect to the RF Σ' the clock velocity is $w^a = u^a \oplus s^a$ and we obtain the value $\Delta Y^0_{\text{clock}} = A(w)\Delta Y'^0$ for the time which the moving clock shows in its rest frame. Using here (2.1) and noting the expansions (4.5)–(4.7) and (4.17)–(4.19), we just arrive at the conclusion that $(\partial(\Delta Y^0_{\text{clock}}/\Delta Y^0)/\partial s^a)\,|_{s^a=0} = 0$. The conclusion is tantamount to the meaning of the slow transport synchronization.

Generally, the theoretical structure and experimental limitations of the special relativity cannot be tested in all its aspects unless a self-consistent alternative metric theory is applied. We expect that it is the Finsler-type geometries that may born such theories, advancing simultaneously *new philosophic-physical sights on the relationship between Relativity and Geometry.*

References

[1] G. S. Asanov: Finslerian metric function of totally anisotropic type. Relativistic aspects, arXiv:math-ph/0510007.

[2] G. S. Asanov: *Finsler Geometry, Relativity and Gauge Theories,* D. Reidel Publ. Comp., Dordrecht 1985.

[3] H. Rund: *The Differential Geometry of Finsler spaces,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1959.

[4] I. Zborovsky: arXiv:hep-ph/0311306.

[5] G.S. Asanov, "The Finsler-type recasting of Lorentz transformations." In: Proceedings of Conference *Physical Interpretation of Relativity Theory*, September 15-20 (London, Sunderland, 2000), pp. 1-24.

[6] G.S. Asanov, *Found. Phys. Lett.* **15**, 199 (2002); arXiv: gr-qc/0207089.

[7] G.S. Asanov: *Rep. Math. Phys.* **45** (2000), 155; **47** (2001), 323; **55** (2005), 777.

[8] G.S. Asanov: arXiv:gr-qc/0207117; arXiv:hep-ph/0306023; arXiv:math-ph/0310019; arXiv:math.MG/0402013.